

**Research Monograph
On**

*“The Expansion of the Scope of Right to Life by Judicial
Interpretations: A Critical Appraisal”*



UGC & Govt. Approved
Sonargaon University (SU)
সোনারগাঁও ইউনিভার্সিটি (এসইউ)

Submitted By:

Tania Rashid

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the
LL.B. (Honor's)
Department of Law
Sonargaon University

Supervised by:

Mohammad Ali

Lecturer
Department of Law
Sonargaon University

DECLARATION

I hereby do solemnly declare that the work presented in this thesis has been carried out by me and has not been previously submitted to any other institution.

The work I have presented does not breach any copyright.

I further undertake to indemnify the University against any loss or damage arising from breach of the foregoing obligations.

.....

Tania Rashid.

ID: LLB2001019004

Department of Law

Sonargaon University

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that the thesis on “**The Expansion of the Scope of Right to Life by Judicial Interpretations: A Critical Appraisal**” is done by **Tania Rashid** in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of LL.B. (Honor’s) from Sonargaon University. The thesis has been carried out under my guidance and is a record of the bonafide work carried out successfully.

.....

Mohammad Ali

Lecturer

Department of Law

Sonargaon University

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

First of all, I wish to acknowledge the immeasurable grace and propound kindness of the Almighty Allah. I express my gratitude to my honorable thesis supervisor **Mohammad Ali**, Lecturer, Department of Law, Sonargaon University, for giving me the opportunity to complete the thesis report. As without her proper guidance it was quite impossible for me to complete the thesis.

I also express my gratefulness to my parents and my family members who encouraged me all the time.

Finally, I express thanks to my friends and well-wishers.

.....
Tania Rashid.

ID: LLB2001019004

Department of Law.

Sonargaon University

ABSTRACT

The Constitution is not only the mirror of the basic structure of a country but also the reflection of all hopes and aspirations of all common people of a country. Undoubtedly; it's influential, significant over all the sets of a country is much more splendid and pompous than any other drafts of any country. The supremacy of it is uncontroversial. But, there is always flagrant gap between theoretical declaration and practical implementation; harshly sometimes it becomes notorious. The general view as well as the spirit of our democratic constitution is to ensure all rights circumventing our whole span of life, but in practice notably it makes us hopeless in aspects of our life. The right indicating 'right to life' in true sense and in practice is standing far away from its' ideals what our constitution actually contains. However, this thesis is a comparative discussion highlighting the gap between theoretical spirit and practical value of rights under the shadow of 'Right to Life' in our country. Actually, superficial or illusive interpretation though confines the area of 'right to life' only to a narrow road where it becomes 'a controversial myth'; but this thesis goes to discover that this is not a mirage at all, rather it is and ought to be a 'fruitful individual safeguard' of all possible rights within Bangladesh context.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Chapter 1	
Introduction	1
Chapter 2	
Understanding the Concept of Right to life	
2.1. Right to Life: Multi way of understanding	3
2.2 General View	4
2.3 Theoretical View	5
2.4 Judicial Observation	5
2.5. Experts Opinion	7
2.6. Understanding 'Right to Life' in the light of the Constitution of People's Republic of Bangladesh	8
Chapter 3	
Right to Life: International Understanding	
3.1. Approach of Different Countries regarding Right to Life	14
3.2. International Treaties and Convention	16
Chapter 4	
Right to life: Safeguard or Constitutional Myth	18

Chapter 5

Scope for Implementing Right to Life under the Constitution of Bangladesh

5.1 The Preamble	33
5.2 Arguments in favor of Enforcing Directive Principles	34

Chapter 6

Conclusion	39
-------------------	----

References	40
-------------------	----

TABLE OF CASES

	Page
<i>Anwar Hossain Chowdhury v. Bangladesh</i> , 1989 BLD, 1	28
<i>Att. Gen. v. Mutual Tontine Westminster Chambers Association Ltd.</i> (1876) 1 Ex. D.469	24
<i>Abduk Gafur v. Secy. Ministry of Foreign Affairs</i> , (1997) BLD, 453.	9
<i>Bangal Immunity Co. v. Bihar</i> , AIR 1955 SC 661, 736.	28
<i>BELA v. Bangladesh & others</i> , 7 (2002) MLR, HC, 147	19,16
<i>Bangladesh Society for the Enforcement of Human Rights (BSEHR) & others v. Govt. of Bangladesh & others</i> , 53 (2001) DLR, 1	16
<i>Barrel v. Fordree</i> , (1932) A.C. 676	24
<i>Chandra Mohan v. U.P.</i> , AIR (1966), SC 1987	28
<i>Consumer Education & Research Centre v. India</i> . AIR (1995), SC, 922	43
<i>Dr. Mohiuddin Farooque v. Bangladesh & others</i> , Writ Petition No.92 of 1996	19
<i>Dr. Mohiuddin Farooque v. Bangladesh</i> , 1 (1996) BLD, AD 189	16
<i>Ain o Salish Kendra (ASK) and others v. Govt. of Bangladesh and others</i> , 4 MLR (HC) (1999), 958	16
<i>D.K Basu v W.B.</i> , AIR 1997 SC 504, 652.	9
<i>Davies Jenkins & Co., Ltd. v. Davis</i> [1967] 2 W.L.R. 1139.	25
<i>D.S. Nakara v. India</i> , AIR (1983), SC, 130, Para 33-34; <i>Kerala Hotel & Restaurant Association v. Kerala</i> , AIR (1990), SC, 913	42
<i>Fazlul Quader Chowdhury v. Abdul Haque</i> , 18 DLR, 69.	28
<i>Francis C. Mullin v. Administrator Union Territory of Delhi</i> 1981, SRC. 516	19
<i>Francis Caralie v Union Territory</i> , AIR 1981.	8
<i>Gian Kaur v Panjabi</i> , AIR (1996), SC, 346.	9
<i>Giasuddin v. Dhaka Municipal Corp</i> , 49 DLR, 199.	9

<i>Hasia v. Khalid Mujib</i> , AIR (1981), SC, 487, 502	44
<i>H.P v. Uned Ram</i> , AIR 1987	8
<i>Kudrat-E-Elahi v. Bangladesh</i> , (1992) pp.188,190-192.	40
<i>Kalam v Bangladesh</i> , 2001 BLD 446.	9
<i>Kalam & others v. Bangladesh & others</i> , 21 (2001) BLD, 446	16
<i>Khushi Kabir & others v. Bangladesh</i> , Writ petition No.3091 of 2000.	19
<i>Lingappa v. Maharashtra</i> , AIR (1985), SC, 389.	43
<i>Lallan Rai v. State of UP</i> , 2002(2) crimes 545 (all).	20
<i>Munn v People of Illinois</i> , 94 us 113	8
<i>Mehta v. kamal Nath</i> , (1997)1. sec. 388.	9
<i>Munn V. People of illions</i> , 94 US 113.	9
<i>Mehta v. India</i> , (1998), 9 sec 589.	9
<i>Modhumala v. Govt. of Bangladesh</i> , WP No. 59 of 1994 (unreported)	16
<i>Mr. M Salam Ullah V. Bangladesh</i> , 55 (2003) DLR, 1	19
<i>Ms. Shehla & others v. WAQPDA</i> , PLD (1994), SC, 693	21
<i>M.C Mehta v. Union of India</i> , AIR (1991), SC, 813	44
<i>Nishi Maghu v. J & K</i> , AIR (1980), SC 1975,	44
<i>Professor Nurul Islam v Government of Bangladesh and Others</i> , 20 (2000) BLD, 337	37
<i>Pakistan Law Commission v. Ministry of Workers</i> , 1994 SCMR 1548	20
<i>Ramsharan v. India</i> , AIR 1989.	9
<i>Rajdhani Unnayan Kotripokkho (RAJUK) & others v. Mohshinul Islam & others</i> , 53(2001) DLR, AD, 79	19
<i>Ramkrishna v. state of Kerala & others</i> , 1992 (2) KLT 725	20
<i>R .v. Banbury</i> (1834)1 A & E.136.	24
<i>Sharif N Ambia v. Bangladesh</i> , Writ Petition No.937 of 1995, p.162	19
<i>State of Himanchal Pradesh & others v. Umed Ram Sharma & others</i> , AIR 1986 SC 847	20
<i>Subhash Kumar v. State of Bihar</i> , AIR (1991), SC, 420	44
<i>Vicram Deo Singh Jomar v. State of Bihar</i> , AIR 1988 SC 1782	20
<i>Vikram v. Bihar</i> , AIR (1988), SC, 1782.	8